

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/813,338	03/30/2004	Anant Achyut Setlur	RD30742/GLOZ 200133	5092		
27885	7590 03/06/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	RPE, FAGAN, MINNICH	PATEL, ASHOK				
	RIOR AVENUE, SEVENTH ND, OH 44114	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		2879			
		DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/813,3	38	SETLUR ET AL.				
		Examine	,	Art Unit				
		Ashok Pa	=	2879				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no ev tition. y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION Ent., however, may a reply be to till expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the state of	DN. imely filed in the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n .						
•		This action is r	on-final.					
′—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 are subject to restriction a	nd/or election red	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗀 '	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requir	ed if the drawing(s) is of	bjected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Offic	e Action or form P7	ГО-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	oreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
۵٫۱	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have hee	n received					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu			tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of th				Stage			
	application from the International I				Olago			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ed.				
			•					
Attachment	:(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		Paper No(s)/Mail D		Դ_152\			
	No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/813,338

Art Unit: 2879

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-13 and 31-37, drawn to a method of making a light emitting device, classified in class 445, subclass 24.

Page 2

- II. Claims 14-29, drawn to a light-emitting device, classified in class 3131, subclass 512.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the light-emitting device of claim 14 or 29 does not require the first phosphor material having reduced thermal quenching than the second phosphor material as recited in method claim 31.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in

Art Unit: 2879

the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was made to Mr. Scott McCollister on 02/28/2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction

Application/Control Number: 10/813,338

Art Unit: 2879

requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 4

- 8. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.
- 9. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ashok Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-2456. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7AM - 5:30PM.

Art Unit: 2879

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ashok Patel
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2879